

## Pending Decisions List

**WEEK 13 2026 - 3 April 2026 to 10 April 2026**

*Please click the following links for relevant areas:*

[BEDFONT & FELTHAM AREA](#)

[BRENTFORD & SYON AREA](#)

[CENTRAL HOUNSLOW AREA](#)

[CHISWICK AREA](#)

[HANWORTH AREA](#)

[HESTON & CRANFORD AREA](#)

[HOUNSLOW SOUTH, ISLEWORTH, OSTERLEY & SPRING GROVE](#)

To search for all received and decided applications, please use the application reference number. A link to the planning search page is given below. This is subject to the Council's [terms and conditions](#) of use.

[Planning Search Page \(Hounslow.gov.uk\)](#)

**PENDING DECISIONS LIST**

**WEEK 13 2026 - 3 April 2026 to 10 April 2026**

**BEDFONT & FELTHAM AREA**

---

**Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections**

None

**Major Applications**

None

**Development on Council Land**

None

## PENDING DECISIONS LIST

WEEK 13 2026 - 3 April 2026 to 10 April 2026

### BRENTFORD & SYON AREA

#### Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections

Item	Address	Ward	Ref. No.	Case officer details
1	MSO Marine, Dock Road, TW8 8AG	Syon & Brentford Lock	P/2025/3821	jillian.ridler@hounslow.gov.uk
<b>Proposal</b>	Demolition of the existing side extension and shed and erection of a two storey side extension, first floor front extension, and replacement shed with two air source heat pumps.			
<b>No. of submissions:</b> 2 objections and 1 support	<p><b><u>Summary of objections</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Concerns regarding damage to the bridge and private road during construction</li> <li>- Chemicals used on site may be dispersed into the river</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Summary of reasons for approval</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No harm to neighbouring living conditions or the character and appearance of the site and conservation area subject to safeguarding conditions.</li> </ul>			
Outcome				

#### Major Applications

None

#### Development on Council Land

None

## PENDING DECISIONS LIST

WEEK 13 2026 - 3 April 2026 to 10 April 2026

### CENTRAL HOUNSLOW AREA

#### Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections

Item	Address	Ward	Ref. No.	Case officer details
1	197 Spring Grove Road, TW7 4AL	Hounslow East	P/2026/0572	nathan.shephard@hounslow.gov.uk
<b>Proposal</b>	Erection of a single storey rear and part first floor side extension incorporating alterations to the front porch windows.			
<b>No. of submissions:</b> 1	<p><b><u>Summary of objections</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Loss of light</li> <li>- Overbearing</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Summary of reasons for approval</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Proposed development would not harm the character and appearance of application site and wider Spring Grove Conservation Area</li> <li>- Proposed development would not harm neighbouring properties through loss of light or overbearing</li> </ul>			
Outcome				

#### Major Applications

None

#### Development on Council Land

None

**PENDING DECISIONS LIST****WEEK 13 2026 - 3 April 2026 to 10 April 2026****CHISWICK AREA****Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Ref. No.</b>	<b>Case officer details</b>
<b>1</b>	10 Addison Grove W4 1ER	Chiswick Homefields	P/2025/3626	jacky.leung@hounslow.gov.uk
<b>Proposal</b>	Erection of a single storey part side extension, installation of a bin store in the front garden and an AC unit in the rear garden of the house			
<b>No. of submissions:</b> 2	<p><b><u>Summary of objections</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The proposed side extension would drastically change the original massing and footprint of the building. The arrangement is entirely alien to the character of Bedford Park.</li> <li>- Create terracing effect and undermines the original architectural intention of the building and the characteristic of Bedford Park.</li> <li>- Other properties where similar extensions have been permitted should not be relied upon as justification.</li> <li>- The proposed bin store should be more utilitarian in appearance.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Summary of reasons for approval</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The design of the side extension would be similar to the adjoining neighbour. No harm on the appearance and character of the listed building and the conservation area.</li> </ul>			
<b>Outcome</b>				

Item	Address	Ward	Ref. No.	Case officer details
2	Gunnersbury Sports And Social Ground Club, Park Place, Acton W3 8JY	Chiswick Gunnersbury	P/2026/0124	jacky.leung@hounslow.gov.uk
<b>Proposal</b>	Retrospective permission for the formation of the northern car park.			
<b>No. of submissions:</b> 8	<p><b><u>Summary of objections</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The car park is accessed via a residential road that was not designed to accommodate the volume and frequency of traffic. The road surface has deteriorated significantly and is now full of potholes.</li> <li>- Inconsiderate parking, noise, disruptions and littering, negatively impact residents. The car park expansion will encourage more anti-social behaviour.</li> <li>- No car park management and traffic management are taking place. No demarcation of space. The car park is in a poor state.</li> <li>- The car park primarily accommodates vehicles from the staff of the International School of London, which has nothing to do with the day-to-day function of the Sports Club.</li> <li>- 2021 planning permission was not implemented and has lapsed. The car park is not being used for its 2021 approved purpose.</li> <li>- A formal loss of an area of Local Open Space. Harm to the character of conservation area.</li> <li>- Misleading and inaccurate information. The applicant no longer exists as a company.</li> <li>- No proposed EV charging points or disabled parking bays.</li> <li>- Excessive and unjustified parking provision. Proposing 78 spaces, but the maximum average peak demand is 59 vehicles.</li> <li>- Sustainable alternatives, including bus and underground and late night public transport, existed.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Summary of reasons for approval</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 2021 planning permission supports the formation of northern car park in principle. The proposed site shared the same size as approved. The proposed parking spaces would satisfy the demand generated by primary uses (social club and sports pitches).</li> <li>- No further harm on Local Open Space and the conservation area, as the 2021 planning permission was granted.</li> <li>- 16 EV charging bays are proposed. Grampian condition for the disabled parking bays in western car park.</li> <li>- Car Park Management Plan would be secured by condition.</li> </ul>			
Outcome				

## Major Applications

None

## Development on Council Land

None

**PENDING DECISIONS LIST**

**WEEK 13 2026 - 3 April 2026 to 10 April 2026**

**HANWORTH AREA**

---

**Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections**

None

**Major Applications**

None

**Development on Council Land**

None

## PENDING DECISIONS LIST

WEEK 13 2026 - 3 April 2026 to 10 April 2026

### HESTON & CRANFORD AREA

#### Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections

Item	Address	Ward	Ref. No.	Case officer details
1	178 Springwell Road, TW5 9BS and 81 Broad Walk, TW5 9AA	Heston Central	P/2025/3740	nathan.shephard@hounslow.gov.uk
<b>Proposal</b>	Erection of a two storey side, two storey rear and rear roof extension incorporating the increase in the height of both houses.			
<b>No. of submissions:</b> 6 (3 objections from the same objector)	<p><b><u>Summary of objections</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The proposal would result in both properties being used as HMOs</li> <li>- The proposed single storey rear extensions harm the character and appearance of the application sites and surrounding area</li> <li>- The proposed large crown roof design would increase the bulk and massing of the two properties and would appear overbearing and obtrusive to the street scene</li> <li>- Proposed development would unbalance the two properties and would be an incongruous addition to the street scene</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Summary of reasons for approval</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The proposed development does not include the conversion to HMOs</li> <li>- The proposed development has amended the proposal to remove the large crown roof</li> <li>- Although the proposed development exceeds the guidance of the Guidelines in terms of size, the proposal would not result in harm to the character and appearance of application site and wider area</li> <li>- Although the proposed development exceeds the guidance of the Guidelines in terms of size, the proposal would not result in harm to the surrounding neighbours</li> </ul>			
<b>Outcome</b>				

### Major Applications

None

## Development on Council Land

None

**PENDING DECISIONS LIST****WEEK 13 2026 - 3 April 2026 to 10 April 2026****HOUNSLOW SOUTH, ISLEWORTH, OSTERLEY & SPRING GROVE AREA****Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections**

Item	Address	Ward	Ref. No.	Case officer details
1	52 Church Street, TW7 6BG	Isleworth	P/2025/3340	jillian.ridler@hounslow.gov.uk
<b>Proposal</b>	Construction of raised timber decking with balustrades to the rear of the property.			
<b>No. of submissions:</b> 9	<p><b><u>Summary of objections</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The proposal structure would cause debris build up, water diversion, and increased dangers to river users</li> <li>- Overlooking and loss of privacy to neighbouring moorings, gardens, and rear windows.</li> <li>- Overbearing, overshadowing and loss of light. Harm to views.</li> <li>- Neighbouring structure does not benefit from planning permission and cannot be used as a precedent for the proposal.</li> <li>- Damage to flood defences</li> <li>- Excessive height</li> <li>- Out of keeping with industrial and patrician nature of the conservation area</li> <li>- Design quality is not sympathetic in terms of scale and form or materials and fails to enhance the character of the area</li> <li>- Inaccurate and misleading drawings. The shared access to the riverbank has not been shown.</li> <li>- Conditions must be used ensure that no other temporary structures are added on top of the decking</li> <li>- Harm to nearby listed buildings</li> <li>- Harm to the openness of the riverbank</li> <li>- Structural and safety concerns regarding impact on the riverbank.</li> <li>- Any structures would require a river works licence from the PLA</li> <li>- Interference with safe access to the mooring and obstruction to existing rights of access</li> <li>- The proposal is outside the applicant's ownership</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Summary of reasons for approval</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Land ownership and access disputes are civil matters and not material planning considerations.</li> <li>- No harm to neighbouring living conditions, the character and appearance of the site and conservation area, or flood risk.</li> </ul>			
<b>Outcome</b>				

Item	Address	Ward	Ref. No.	Case officer details
2	18 Grove Road, TW7 4JH	Osterley & Spring Grove	P/2026/0298	alejandra.nino@hounslow.gov.uk
<b>Proposal</b>	Retrospective application for the installation of a new window and side access door to the side elevation. Alterations to the rear extension, including the reduction of the existing opening and the omission of the previously approved flat-roof skylights. Removal of the rear balcony and its replacement with a new window. Repositioning of the rear skylight and installation of a new sun tunnel to the rear roof slope. Alterations to the front landscaping. Modifications to the existing two-storey side and single-storey rear roof forms.			
<b>No. of submissions:</b> 14 from 8 addresses	<p><b><u>Summary of objections</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The proposal appears to deviate from the originally approved planning permission, and the retrospective nature of the application suggests manipulation of the planning process. Enforcement action should be taken by the Council.</li> <li>- Proposals in conservation areas must protect and conserve the neighbours.</li> <li>- Side Door:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o The property was purchased without side doors or windows.</li> <li>o It would create an active access point not previously approved, increasing movement, noise, and disturbance along the boundary.</li> <li>o Concerns about overlooking, privacy, and safety.</li> <li>o It would serve frequently used kitchen and utility areas.</li> <li>o No side doors should be permitted and any side windows should be obscure glazed and be non-opening below 1.7 m.</li> </ul> </li> <li>- Roof alterations: the height and form of the side extension roof would increase visual impact along the shared boundary and appear overbearing.</li> <li>- Comparing the proposal with 14 Grove Road is inappropriate, as that property does not include a side door.</li> <li>- There is concern about cumulative impacts, including the removal of a mature tree at the rear.</li> <li>- The proposed refuse and recycling location could result in odour, noise, and visual disturbance.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Summary of reasons for approval</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The proposed side door and window would not serve any habitable rooms.</li> <li>- Proposal includes opaque glass for the proposed side window and door and its retention can be conditioned.</li> <li>- The existing boundary fence would screen the proposed openings.</li> <li>- The property would remain in use as a single dwelling, so there would be no increase in comings and goings or general activity.</li> <li>- Works to the trees have already been approved under a separate process.</li> <li>- The roof height of the extension would remain subservient to the main dwelling, preserving the established hierarchy of built form.</li> <li>- Proposal would not harm neighbours' living conditions, nor the character or appearance of the Conservation Area.</li> </ul>			
Outcome				

## Major Applications

None

## Development on Council Land

None