

Doc. No.	Document title	Due Date	Details
8	Affordable Workspace note	20/03/26	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Explanation of approach to affordable workspace, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o The justification for affordable workspace being requested Borough-wide, particularly in the context of London Plan Policy E3 Part C. o The evidential basis for the reduction to be applied, o The duration of the reduction requested, o The approach to securing off-site contributions (including the calculator being developed and the identification of and allocation to opportunities/projects to be delivered), o The approach to and duration of reprovision when existing affordable and open workspace is proposed to be redeveloped, and; o The approach to management arrangements of affordable workspace and how it would be intended to be secured. - Explanation of any relevant proposed modifications to Policies ED1, ED2 and ED4 and relevant supporting text, arising from hearing discussions and the tasks listed above (NB. proposed modifications requested during Weeks 1 and 2 of the Stage 1 Hearings to be compiled in an update to Document S11 (pending document 7 requested during Week 1) by 20/03/26.
9	Revised approach to address limitations on Supplementary Planning Documents	20/03/26	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Explanation and full details of proposed modifications throughout the Plan to address the limitations on the preparation of new and updated Supplementary Planning Documents after June 2026 (NB. proposed modifications requested during Weeks 1 and 2 of the Stage 1 Hearings to be compiled in an update to Document S11 (pending document 7 requested during Week 1) by 20/03/26). - Identification and explanation of proposed changes throughout the Plan to ensure that the status of existing Supplementary Planning Documents and Supplementary Planning Guidance is appropriately reflected (i.e. having regard to it for the purposes of decision making) and differs from development plan policies (i.e. where compliance is sought).
10	Retail and Main Town Centre Uses note	20/03/26	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clarification of the approach in the Plan to retail and main town centre uses, including:

Doc. No.	Document title	Due Date	Details
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The justification for not setting out a specific requirement for town centre and main town centre uses in the Plan. ○ Clarification of the extent to which site allocations in the Plan address the qualitative and accessibility gaps in retail and main town centre provision, and the extent to which those in edge of centre and out of centre, could not be otherwise addressed in Hounslow's town centres. ○ Clarification of and justification for the intended status of neighbourhood centres in the context of national policy - are they equivalent to district centres or local centres, or are they small parades of shops of purely neighbourhood significance? ○ Revisit the consistency of the evidence with the minimum development quantum identified for retail and main town centre uses in proposed site allocations in the Plan (Site 107 - Sainsburys, Chiswick was identified specifically in discussions). ○ Justification for the impact assessment threshold for retail in Policy TC3 Part C. <p>- Clarification of how the intention in the Plan to retain/sustain a high proportion of existing levels of retail floorspace would be achieved given that most main town centre uses fall within Use Class E (i.e. the role and scope of any existing Article 4 directions, and any intended use of conditions as part of planning decisions in the context of national policy and guidance).</p> <p>- Explanation of any relevant proposed modifications to Policies TC1 to TC5 and relevant supporting text, arising from hearing discussions and the tasks listed above (NB. proposed modifications requested during Weeks 1 and 2 of the Stage 1 Hearings to be compiled in an update to Document S11(pending document 7 requested during Week 1) by 20/03/26.</p>
11	Transport note	20/03/26	<p>- Set out the full details of the intended approach in the Plan to contributions to transport expected from development proposals, including how they would be calculated, secured and allocated to identified projects.</p>

Doc. No.	Document title	Due Date	Details
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Explanation of any relevant proposed modifications to Policies EC1 to EC4 and relevant supporting text, arising from hearing discussions and the task listed above (NB. proposed modifications requested during Weeks 1 and 2 of the Stage 1 Hearings to be compiled in an update to Document S11(pending document 7 requested during Week 1) by 20/03/26.
12	Viability note	20/03/26	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clarification of the extent to which all policy asks in the Plan are addressed and accounted for in viability evidence (particularly document EBV1). - Updates to relevant tables in document EBV1 to clarify where the carbon offsetting requirements are being tested (and to what level), in circumstances where viability is also testing a proposal's potential to deliver net zero carbon requirements fully on site. - Explanation of the Council's intended approach to flexibility for viability to be applied to development proposals that demonstrate deliverability challenges, and the extent to which the Plan approach aligns with the London Plan (particularly London Plan Policy DF1). - Explanation of any relevant proposed modifications to the Plan arising from hearing discussions and the tasks listed above (NB. proposed modifications requested during Weeks 1 and 2 of the Stage 1 Hearings to be compiled in an update to Document S11(pending document 7 requested during Week 1) by 20/03/26.
13	Zero Carbon note	20/03/26	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Further explanation of the Plan approach in the context of the London Plan Policy SI 2, WMS 2023 and the evidence in document EBV1 and EX10. - Clarification of the intended Plan approach and any modifications proposed, in terms of how the Hounslow carbon offset price could be subject to regular reviews - noting that it could not be addressed through the preparation of a new Supplementary Planning Document. - Reflections on whether a more specific or refined approach in the Plan is required to deal with development proposals for temporary and/or meanwhile uses.

Doc. No.	Document title	Due Date	Details
			- Explanation of any relevant proposed modifications to the Policy EQ1, EB2, Table EQ2.1 and supporting text arising from hearing discussions and the tasks listed above (NB. proposed modifications requested during Weeks 1 and 2 of the Stage 1 Hearings to be compiled in an update to Document S11 (pending document 7 requested during Week 1) by 20/03/26.
14	Brentford Docks note	20/03/26	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Council to undertake research to document the available evidence of the planning history of the Brentford Docks site, including any formal planning designations relating to open space adopted in previous development plans. - Provision of any other explanation or details relevant to the status of access/public right of way to the Brentford Docks site insofar as capable of being disclosed at this stage, noting the separate Brentford Walks proposal for a Definitive Map Modification Order has yet to be determined.
15	Railhead Safeguarding note	20/03/26	- Explanation of any relevant proposed modifications to the Plan arising from hearing discussions (NB. proposed modifications requested during Weeks 1 and 2 of the Stage 1 Hearings to be compiled in an update to Document S11 (pending document 7 requested during Week 1) by 20/03/26.
16	Tall Buildings Historic Environment/Heritage note	20/03/26	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Set out and where necessary justify, the detail of proposed changes to the approach to tall buildings in Policy CC3 to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Separate out the policy approach, including the possibility of a new policy, for buildings that fall below the definition of a tall building set out in London Plan Policy D9. o Provide necessary changes to Figure CC3.1 to accurately reflect the areas where the different tall building definitions would apply. o Amend Policy CC3 Parts K and L to more closely align with the expectations of London Plan Policy D9 Part B 2), including a new Map that more clearly identifies the suitable locations (a Hounslow overview of the suitable locations taken from Figures CC3.3 - 3.8, and any other suitable locations justified by evidence).

Doc. No.	Document title	Due Date	Details
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Amend and repurpose Policy CC3 Parts M and N to align more closely with London Plan Policy D9 (and the High Court judgment in London Borough of Hillingdon, R (On the Application Of) v Mayor of London), whilst removing duplication of the approaches to impact assessment and focussing only on additional locally specific issues. Supplement this with a new map providing a Hounslow overview of the locations of potential constraints to tall buildings including the buffer zone required to conserve, promote and enhance the Outstanding Universal Value of the Royal Botanic Gardens Kew World Heritage Site; identify any local views that are justified by the evidence and sought to be protected in the Plan (having regard to London Plan Policy HC3), and identify public safety zones associated with the operation of Heathrow Airport. ○ Amendments to Policy CC3 to incorporate the requirements of the proposal in terms of context height ratio for tall buildings as currently set out in paragraphs 6.15 and 6.16 of the supporting text. - Set out and where necessary explain and justify, the detail of proposed changes to Policy CC4 - Heritage to ensure it is consistent with national policy and aligns with London Plan Policies HC1, HC2 and HC3. <p>(NB. proposed modifications requested during Weeks 1 and 2 of the Stage 1 Hearings to be compiled in an update to Document S11 (pending document 7 requested during Week 1) by 20/03/26).</p>

NB. Pending document numbers 1 to 7 are otherwise listed in EX30.