

Pending Decisions List

WEEK 38 2024 - 20 September 2024 to 27 September 2024

Please click the following links for relevant areas:

[BEDFONT & FELTHAM AREA](#)

[BRENTFORD & SYON AREA](#)

[CENTRAL HOUNSLOW AREA](#)

[CHISWICK AREA](#)

[HANWORTH AREA](#)

[HESTON & CRANFORD AREA](#)

[HOUNSLOW SOUTH, ISLEWORTH, OSTERLEY & SPRING GROVE](#)

To search for all received and decided applications, please use the full application reference. A link to the planning search page is given below. This is subject to you reading and accepting the terms and conditions of this service.

[Weekly lists of planning applications \(Hounslow.gov.uk\)](#)

PENDING DECISIONS LIST

WEEK 38 2024

20 September 2024 to 27 September 2024

BEDFONT & FELTHAM AREA

Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections

None

Major Applications

None

Development on Council Land

None

PENDING DECISIONS LIST

WEEK 38 2024

20 September 2024 to 27 September 2024

BRENTFORD & SYON AREA

Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections

None

Major Applications

None

Development on Council Land

None

PENDING DECISIONS LIST**WEEK 38 2024****20 September 2024 to 27 September 2024****CENTRAL HOUNSLOW AREA****Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections**

Item	Address	Ward	Ref. No.	Case officer details
1	106 and 108 Bulstrode Avenue, TW3 3AG	Hounslow West	P/2024/1609	shalini.datta@hounslow.gov.uk
Proposal	Retrospective application for the sub-division of existing outbuilding			
No. of submissions: 8	<p><u>Summary of objections</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Incomplete wall finishes to the rear is unsightly. - Concerns about alignment of the outbuilding to be marginally different to as shown in plan. - Overlooking windows to the rear. - Noise from manner of use of outbuilding. <p><u>Summary of reasons for approval</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Proposed layout would remove the existing shower room and replace with store. - Existing exposed blockwork on rear wall to be painted white. - Rear windows are raised with limited opening and obscured glazing. - A safeguarding condition would control the use of the outbuilding. 			
Outcome	Delegated Decision			

Major Applications

None

Development on Council Land

None

PENDING DECISIONS LIST**WEEK 38 2024****20 September 2024 to 27 September 2024****CHISWICK AREA****Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections**

Item	Address	Ward	Ref. No.	Case officer details
1	4 Park Drive, W3 8NA	Chiswick Gunnersbury	P/2024/1643	Louise.Oppe@hounslow.gov.uk
Proposal	Erection of a single storey rear infill extension, installation of one additional front, one rear roof window and front porch with replacement door and window. Replacement of front windows and first floor rear windows from timber to UPVC			
No. of submissions: 1	<p><u>Summary of objections</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Harm to property and appearance of area - Property covered by Article 4 direction - Not clear which windows are being replaced <p><u>Summary of reasons for approval</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No harm to property and appearance of area - Article 4 direction removes permitted development rights meaning planning permission is required - Elevations received showing windows 			
Outcome	Delegated Decision			

Item	Address	Ward	Ref. No.	Case officer details
2	26 Gainsborough Road, Chiswick W4 1NJ	Chiswick Homefields	P/2024/2584	jacky.leung@housnlow.gov.uk
Proposal	Installation of a circular roof window to the existing single storey extension.			
No. of submissions: 1	<p><u>Summary of objections</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Existing features provide more than adequate natural light to the space. The proposed circular rooflight is unnecessary. - Rooflights can significantly contribute to overheating as suggested by Historic England. - A lack of comprehensive drawings and the Design and Access Statement. <p><u>Summary of reasons for approval</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No harm to the appearance and character of the area. - The rooflight would not cover the whole roof. It would be triple glazed with a solar reflective coating. 			
Outcome	Delegated Decision			

Major Applications

None

Development on Council Land

None

PENDING DECISIONS LIST

WEEK 38 2024

20 September 2024 to 27 September 2024

HANWORTH AREA

Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections

None

Major Applications

None

Development on Council Land

None

PENDING DECISIONS LIST**WEEK 38 2024****20 September 2024 to 27 September 2024****HESTON & CRANFORD AREA****Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections**

None

Major Applications

Item	Address	Ward	Ref. No.	Case officer details
1	Land rear of Hounslow Conservative Club, Gresham Road, TW3 4BX	Heston East	P/2023/3177	Nathan.ringer@hounslow.gov.uk
Summary	<p>Redevelopment of the site to erect three, four storey residential blocks to provide 56 residential units with car parking, cycle parking and refuse stores incorporating the formation of new pedestrian entrances, hard and soft landscaping and amendments to the access road</p> <p><u>Summary of 92 objections:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Loss of open space/sport facilities and overdevelopment of the site and wider area. - The proposal would result in the loss of community facilities, and would not re-provide the facilities on-site. - The proposal would provide a poor quality of accommodation. - The proposal would be contrary to the site allocation. - The proposal would be contrary to Local Plan policies and the NPPF. - The proposal would be taller than buildings in the vicinity and will change the character of the area. - The scale, form and position of the blocks would harm to appearance of the area. - The character and identity would be incoherent with its surroundings. - The proposal would harm the appearance of Osterley Station and other heritage assets. 			

- Harm to the outlook of neighbouring properties, loss of daylight/sunlight, and would cause an increased sense of enclosure.
- The position of the buildings would result in privacy issues between the new residents.
- The materials and detailing should match red brick of the surrounding houses.
- The proposal would fail to replicate the architectural identity of the surrounding area.
- The proposal fails to acknowledge the historical significance of the area.
- Design should respond to the station and residential blocks.
- Harm to road network and additional congestion through additional residents and demand for vehicles.
- Busy junctions will be made more dangerous, especially for school children.
- Harm to public transport.
- Disturbances during construction to school and HCC, which would discourage user attendance, particularly the elderly.
- Insufficient parking provision and loss of existing parking. Displaced vehicles would further harm on-street parking provision.
- Access road to HCC and school insufficient.
- No access for emergency services.
- Low PTAL.
- Overprovision of cycle parking
- Failure to demonstrate no harm to habitats and species on site.
- Lack of open space provided by the development and would not achieve necessary BNG.
- Loss of trees during construction.
- Too many access points across the Great West Road, leading to a lack of security.
- Lack of community consultation.
- The proposal would harm the security, vitality and viability of the HCC.
- Increase in noise pollution.
- Harm to local infrastructure (sewage, nurseries, GP, dentist, schools)
- Harm to the value of neighbouring properties.
- Increase in anti-social behaviour due to new access from the Great West Road and affordable housing provision.
- Increase in fly-tipping.
- Lack of consideration for existing residents.
- Failure to provide access to Oakland's school facilities.
- Harm to resident's mental health and community cohesion
- Negative impact on the students of the school.

	<p><u>Petition received with 184 signatures (not to be treated as formal objection to planning application):</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ‘Any development of this magnitude must include provisions for a prayer hall accessible to the local community at minimum. Failure to incorporate such a facility, either within this development or through the provision of an alternative prayer hall, would disproportionately impact the local Muslim community, particularly those who are elderly, frail, or disabled. Ensuring equitable access to religious spaces is essential for fostering a sense of belonging and social integration within our community’. <p><u>Summary of likely recommendation:</u></p> <p>To be determined by Planning Committee.</p>
Outcome	To be determined by Planning Committee

Development on Council Land

None

PENDING DECISIONS LIST**WEEK 38 2024****20 September 2024 to 27 September 2024****HOUNSLOW SOUTH, ISLEWORTH, OSTERLEY & SPRING GROVE AREA****Minor & Householder Applications to be recommended for Approval with objections**

Item	Address	Ward	Ref. No.	Case officer details
1	542 London Road, TW7 4EP	Osterley & Spring Grove	P/2024/2343	Rupinder.dhoot@hounslow.go.uk
Proposal	Erection of a single storey rear extension to the existing building to provide a one person studio flat with associated private amenity space, solar panels, refuse and cycle storage.			
No. of submissions: 2	<p><u>Summary of objections</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unable to view plans online - Separate self-contained residential unit within curtilage of the host dwelling and presumption against such development - Harm to the character and appearance of the local area and street-scene - Would fail to provide an acceptable quality of accommodation for future occupiers - Would result in harm to highway and pedestrian safety - Overdevelopment of site <p><u>Summary of reasons for approval</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All plans are published online - The site contains a mixed use building and proposed use considered acceptable in principle as established with previously approved application P/2020/4092 - Acceptable quality of accommodation, no harm to neighbouring amenity or the character and appearance of the area - No car parking proposed on site 			
Outcome	Delegated Decision			

Major Applications

None

Development on Council Land

None
